«She rocks Science» - February 12, 2024

# *Whose science? Whose knowledge?*
## The feminist perspective in epistemology

Eleonora Severini
Università di Pavia
eleonora.severini@unipv.it

# Can There Be a Feminist Epistemology?

# Can There Be a Feminist Epistemology?

- Standard epistemology: What can we know? How should we know?

# Can There Be a Feminist Epistemology?

- Standard epistemology: What can we know? How should we know?

1) I know that Rome is in Italy
2) I know that the chemical formula of water is $H_2O$
3) I know Rome
4) I know how to make strawberry cake
5) I know the taste of strawberry cake
6) (...)

# Can There Be a Feminist Epistemology?

- Standard epistemology: What can we know? How should we know?

1) I know that Rome is in Italy
2) I know that the chemical formula of water is $H_2O$
3) I know Rome
4) I know how to make strawberry cake
5) I know the taste of strawberry cake
6) (...)

- Feminist epistemology: «Whose science? Whose knowledge?» (Harding 1991)

# Can There Be a Feminist Epistemology?

- Standard epistemology: What can we know? How should we know?

1) I know that Rome is in Italy
2) I know that the chemical formula of water is $H_2O$
3) I know Rome
4) I know how to make strawberry cake
5) I know the taste of strawberry cake
6) (...)

- Feminist epistemology: «Whose science? Whose knowledge?» (Harding 1991)

- "Anyone can see through my telescope" (*adapted from* Galileo)

# Feminism and Science

“Science it would seem is not sexless; she is a man, a father and infected too?»

(Virginia Woolf, 1938)

Science as “a master’s tool”

(Audre Lorde, 1984)

- When it comes to knowledge, women (1) get left out or (2) get hurt.

(b) Women fail to be knowers: women are left out as “subject” of knowledge:

(b) Women fail to be knowers: women are left out as “subject” of knowledge:

(1) Women lack the knowledge men have: women are deprived of the epistemological resources through lack of literacy, time, power, or economic resources.

(2) women are excluded, overtly or covertly, from particular fields of knowledge;

(3) by denying them epistemic authority;

(4) woman's under-confidence: even when she apparently knows, she does not know she knows;

(b) Women fail to be knowers: women are left out as “subject” of knowledge:

(1) Women lack the knowledge men have: women are deprived of the epistemological resources through lack of literacy, time, power, or economic resources.

(2) women are excluded, overtly or covertly, from particular fields of knowledge;

(3) by denying them epistemic authority;

(4) woman's under-confidence: even when she apparently knows, she does not know she knows;

(5) by denigrating “feminine” cognitive styles.

(b) Women fail to be knowers: women are left out as “subject” of knowledge:

(1) Women lack the knowledge men have: women are deprived of the epistemological resources through lack of literacy, time, power, or economic resources.

(2) women are excluded, overtly or covertly, from particular fields of knowledge;

(3) by denying them epistemic authority;

(4) woman's under-confidence: even when she apparently knows, she does not know she knows;

(5) by denigrating “feminine” cognitive styles.

Feminist epistemology hinders (a) and (b) not only for ethical and political reasons, but also for epistemological ones.

# Can There Be a Feminist Science? (Longino 1987)

## Can There Be a Feminist Science? (Longino 1987)

- Sociological meaning: What sort of social conditions would make feminist science possible?

Can There Be a Feminist Science? (Longino 1987)

- Sociological meaning: What sort of social conditions would make feminist science possible?
- Moral meaning: Would it be ethically significant (if not desirable) to encourage the participation of women in scientific practice?

Can There Be a Feminist Science? (Longino 1987)

- Sociological meaning: What sort of social conditions would make feminist science possible?
- Moral meaning: Would it be ethically significant (if not desirable) to encourage the participation of women in scientific practice?
- Epistemological meaning: Does feminist science entail an epistemic gain?

Can There Be a Feminist Science? (Longino 1987)

- Sociological meaning: What sort of social conditions would make feminist science possible?
- Moral meaning: Would it be ethically significant (if not desirable) to encourage the participation of women in scientific practice?
- Epistemological meaning: Does feminist science entail an epistemic gain?

- What should be meant by feminist science?

- What should be meant by feminist science?

SCIENCE: impersonal, objective, value-free inquiry.

- What should be meant by feminist science?

SCIENCE: impersonal, objective, value-free inquiry.

FEMINISM: politically engaged, subjective, value-laden point of view

- What should be meant by feminist science?

SCIENCE: impersonal, objective, value-free inquiry.

FEMINISM: politically engaged, subjective, value-laden point of view

- If this is the case, there cannot be feminist science.

- What should be meant by feminist science?

SCIENCE: impersonal, objective, value-free inquiry.

FEMINISM: politically engaged, subjective, value-laden point of view

- If this is the case, there cannot be feminist science.

"The claim that there could be a feminist science in the sense of an intellectual practice is either nonsense because oxymoronic (...) or the claim is interpreted to mean that established science (science as done and dominated by men) is wrong about the world"

(Longino 1987, 53)

- What should be meant by feminist science?

**SCIENCE:** impersonal, objective, value-free inquiry.

**FEMINISM:** politically engaged, subjective, value-laden point of view

- If this is the case, there cannot be feminist science.

"The claim that there could be a feminist science in the sense of an intellectual practice is either nonsense because oxymoronic (...) or the claim is interpreted to mean that established science (science as done and dominated by men) is wrong about the world"

(Longino 1987, 53)

# Situated Knowledge vs Knowledge from Nowhere

# Situated Knowledge vs Knowledge from Nowhere

(1) Knowledge reflects the situation and perspective of the knower.

# Situated Knowledge vs Knowledge from Nowhere

(1) Knowledge reflects the situation and perspective of the knower.

(2) The situation and perspective of the knower influence what is known and how it is known.

# Situated Knowledge vs Knowledge from Nowhere

(1) Knowledge reflects the situation and perspective of the knower.

(2) The situation and perspective of the knower influence what is known and how it is known.

(3) Feminist epistemology explores how gender situates knowing subjects.

# Situated Knowledge vs Knowledge from Nowhere

(1) Knowledge reflects the situation and perspective of the knower.

(2) The situation and perspective of the knower influence what is known and how it is known.

(3) Feminist epistemology explores how gender situates knowing subjects.

(4) Thus, gender influences what is known and how it is known.

# Situated Knowledge vs Knowledge from Nowhere

(1) Knowledge reflects the situation and perspective of the knower.

(2) The situation and perspective of the knower influence what is known and how it is known.

(3) Feminist epistemology explores how gender situates knowing subjects.

(4) Thus, gender influences what is known and how it is known.

(5) Gender is epistemically significant.

# Situated Knowledge vs Knowledge from Nowhere

(1) Knowledge reflects the situation and perspective of the knower.

(2) The situation and perspective of the knower influence what is known and how it is known.

(3) Feminist epistemology explores how gender situates knowing subjects.

(4) Thus, gender influences what is known and how it is known.

(5) Gender is epistemically significant.

(6) There is a feminine way of knowing.

# Situated Knowledge vs Knowledge from Nowhere

(1) Knowledge reflects the situation and perspective of the knower.

(2) The situation and perspective of the knower influence what is known and how it is known.

(3) Feminist epistemology explores how gender situates knowing subjects.

(4) Thus, gender influences what is known and how it is known.

(5) Gender is epistemically significant.

(6) There is a feminine way of knowing.

Two problems:

(6) means that women and men have different ways of knowing;

# Situated Knowledge vs Knowledge from Nowhere

(1) Knowledge reflects the situation and perspective of the knower.

(2) The situation and perspective of the knower influence what is known and how it is known.

(3) Feminist epistemology explores how gender situates knowing subjects.

(4) Thus, gender influences what is known and how it is known.

(5) Gender is epistemically significant.

(6) There is a feminine way of knowing.

Two problems:

(6) means that women and men have different ways of knowing;

(6) means that all women share a common way of knowing.

- There is not a “feminine” way of knowing, but there is a “feminist” way of knowing and doing science.

- There is not a “feminine” way of knowing, but there is a “feminist” way of knowing and doing science.
- Feminist epistemology defends feminist practices in science (e.g., recognition of women as epistemic agents; attention to issues affecting women and other marginalized people, etc.)

- There is not a “feminine” way of knowing, but there is a “feminist” way of knowing and doing science.
- Feminist epistemology defends feminist practices in science (e.g., recognition of women as epistemic agents; attention to issues affecting women and other marginalized people, etc.)

- “Can there be a feminist science?”

- There is not a “feminine” way of knowing, but there is a “feminist” way of knowing and doing science.
- Feminist epistemology defends feminist practices in science (e.g., recognition of women as epistemic agents; attention to issues affecting women and other marginalized people, etc.)

- “Can there be a feminist science?”

«I want to suggest that we focus on science as practice rather than a content, as process rather than product, hence, not on feminist science, but on doing science as a feminist»

(Longino 1987, 53)

Thank you!